

/ÜNCHEN

MAXIMILIANS UNIVERSITÄT DIGITAL SERVICES



LMU and UGA Libraries: **Emerging Trends in Research Data Management**

Lightning Talk "Technical Components of RDM"

Jaime Penagos, Martin Spenger

Digital Services University Library Ludwig Maximilian University, Munich



DIGITAL SERVICES



Disclaimer

- Based on personal experiences @ University Library LMU Munich
- Reflection on the challenges during the implementation of our project(s)





╝っ └║



Decisions, decisions

- What are my options?
 - Solution "out of the box"



- Project planning
 - What are my needs?
 - How does my data look like?





Our ecosystem

- Fedora (\rightarrow OCFL)
- Solr
- Blacklight
- "Magic" (in form of ETL and Integration Patterns)





DIGITAL SERVICES



Our current (and expected) data

- Several projects with different data requirements
 - ~5.000.000 files / ~150GB on disk / text-based / complex metadata
 - ~200 objects / ~900GB on disk / misc. / simple metadata
 - ~5.000 objects / ~10TB on disk / digitization / (very) complex metadata





Challenges

- Problems to unify information internally
 - Unique identifiers across all platforms?
 - Metadata requires transformations and (lots of) adjustments
- Very intense and extensive ETL processes
 - Each project requires a different approach
 - Data transformations
 - Indexing your data. How is your index going to support everything
 - Requirements are volatile







Why choosing this option?!

- Flexibility
- Achievements
 - Unified metadata format (rdUB)
 - Unique identifiers across all our platforms within the framework
 - Integration with other platforms
- Fedora was key
 - OCFL
 - Messaging
 - Documentation







Recommendations

- Do not be afraid to try
- Tools and documentation are there to help you
- Flexibility towards having the full control on what you need





DIGITAL SERVICES



Data Management Plans (DMP)

- Research Data Management Organiser (RDMO)
 - https://rdmo.ub.uni-muenchen.de/
 - Tool to create DMPs
 - Various questionnaires to address specific funder requirements
 - Various export formats and PDF support
 - Registration via ORCID ID or e-mail





Metadata Management

- DataCite Best Practice Guide
 - https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7040047
 - Overview of DataCite (for researchers and library staff)
 - Detailed examples
 - Components on GitHub: <u>https://github.com/UB-</u> LMU/DataCite_BestPracticeGuide
- DataCite Metadata Generator
 - <u>https://dhvlab.gwi.uni-muenchen.de/datacite-generator/</u>
 - Tool to create machine-readable metadata
 - Based on DataCite Best Practice Guide
 - Easy to reuse: https://github.com/UB-LMU/datacite-metadata-generator



DataCite Metadata Generator - Kernel 4.4

Identifier:		?
[IDENTIFIER]	[identifierType]	~
Title(s):		? +
[TITLE]		
[LANG] [titleType]	~	
Creator(s):		? +
[CREATOR NAME]	[nameType]	~
[GIVEN NAME] (optional)	[FAMILY NAME] (optional)	
[NAME IDENTIFIER]	[nameIdentifierScheme]	× 💼
[NAME IDENTIFIER SCHEME URI]		
[CREATOR AFFILIATION]	[LANG]	
[AFFILIATION IDENTIFIER]	[affiliationIdentifierScheme]	~
[AFFILIATION IDENTIFIER SCHEME URI]		
Publisher:		2
[PUBLISHER]	[LANG]	
Publication Year:		7
[\\\\\]		
Resource Type:		7
[RESOURCE TYPE]	[resourceTypeGeneral]	~
+ Recommended Elements		

erences:

 DataCite Metadata Working Group, (2021). DataCite Metadata Schema Documentation for the Publication and Citation of Research Data and Other Research Outputs, Version 4.4. DataCite eV. https://doi.org/10.14454/39X2-sa82
Baver Christmens Frech Andreas Gabriel Veness Kümmet Sonia Lücke Stephan Munke Johannes Putnings Markus Rohwild Jürgen.

 Bayer, Christiane, Frech, Andreas, Gabriel, Vanessa, Kümmet, Sonja, Lücke, Stephan, Munke, Johannes, Putnings, Markus, Rohnwild, Jürgen, Schutz, Julian, Spenger, Martin, & Weber, Tobias. (2022). DataCite Best Practice Guide (Version 2.0). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 7040047



MAXIMILIANS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN DIGITAL SERVICES



Thank you!

researchdata@ub.uni-muenchen.de jaime.penagos@ub.uni-muenchen.de martin.spenger@ub.uni-muenchen.de